

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,575 04/12/2004		Pedrag Lazic	PLC-10002/03	2630
25006	7590 02/28/2007		EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, F	KRASS, SPRINKLE,	ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C		
PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/822,575	LAZIC ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Ernesto Garcia	3679

	Ernesto	Garcia	3679			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	he cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
req	The amendment document filed on <u>12 February 2007</u> is consider requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendmentitem(s) is required.					
THI	THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDA 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other		BE NON-COMPLI	ANT:		
	2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.7B. Other	· '2 .				
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the t "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.12 B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing coshowing amended figures, without markings, in C. Other <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	1(d). prection has been elimin	ated. Replaceme	nt drawings		
	 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not pressed. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of C. Each claim has not been provided with the proposed of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the sometimes number by using one of the following status ide (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Not D. The claims of this amendment paper have not be E. Other: 	f all pending claims (inclu per status identifier, and a tatus of every claim mus entifiers: (Original), (Curro Withdrawn) and (Withdra	as such, the indiv it be indicated afte ently amended), (wn-currently ame	idual status er its claim Canceled), ended).		
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed	in accordance with 37 C	CFR 1.4):			
For	For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 (CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.			
TIM	TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:					
1.	 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant a filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non- entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 					
2.	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.					
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayl	only if the non-compliant le action.	amendment is a	non-final		
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant a filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amen	mendment is a non-final				
		ANIEL P. STODOLA Telephor	ne No.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3(c) Other: The remarks fail to describe in detail the changes made to each of the sheets. 37 CFR 1.121(d) states: "All changes to the drawings shall be explained, in detail, in either the drawing amendment or remarks section of the amendment paper". The statement that the drawings correct the objections of the examiner is a general statement and does not comply with the rule because it does no explain in detail what was changed in each of the replacement sheets.